See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2398206

G-Minimal Topological Groups

Article · January 1998

Source: CiteSeer

CITATIONS 14

1 author:



90 PUBLICATIONS 1,450 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

All content following this page was uploaded by Michael Megrelishvili on 09 June 2014.

READS 64

To appear in the Proc. of Orsatty Conference, Padova, Italy, 1997, Abelian groups, Modules and Topology, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Algebra, Marcel Dekker.

G-Minimal Topological Groups

Michael Megrelishvili

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Bar-Ilan University, 52 900 Ramat-Gan, Israel

ABSTRACT. We study sufficient conditions for G-minimality of topological G-groups X and minimality of semidirect products $X \\ightarrow G$ arising under some natural constructions.

Introduction.

A Hausdorff topological group X is called minimal [S] if it does not admit a strictly coarser Hausdorff group topology. The development of the theory of minimal topological groups is described in the monograph [DPS] and in several survey articles [CHR], [D2]. Here we discuss only facts that directly concern the present paper. It was shown by Stephenson [S] that every locally compact abelian minimal group must be compact. Nevertheless, every locally compact abelian Hausdorff group is a group retract of a locally compact minimal group (see [M]). The first example of a locally compact (noncompact) minimal group was found by Dierolf and Schwanengel [DS]. They proved that the semidirect product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ of the multiplicative group \mathbb{R}_+ of all positive real numbers with \mathbb{R} is minimal. Later Remus and Stoyanov [RS] established the minimality of $\mathbb{R}^n \times H$ for every closed subgroup H of $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ which contains all diagonal matrices with positive entries.

In the present article we generalize these results in several directions. In section 1 we show that for every normed space X there exists an abelian subgroup H of GL(X) such that X > H is minimal. This result helps us to establish that there exists one and only one nontrivial group topology on X which preserves the continuity of the action of GL(X) on X. At the same time, the question about minimality of X > GL(X) is still open even for Hilbert space $X = \ell_2$.

In section 2 we prove that if a locally compact abelian Hausdorff group X contains a copy of the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, then $X > \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is minimal.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$ -T_EX

Section 3 is devoted to the following general question:

Question. For which topological fields K and subgroups H of GL(n, K) are the groups $K^n > H$ minimal? In particular, when is $K > K^{\times}$ minimal (here $K^{\times} = K \setminus \{0\}$)?

This question leads us to the important class of *locally retrobounded division* rings introduced by Nachbin [N].

In the last section, resolving a question of Dikranjan [D2], we construct a totally disconnected minimal group which is not zero-dimensional. This answers negatively a question posed earlier by Archangel'skij: does a totally disconnected group always admit a coarser zero-dimensional Hausdorff group topology (see [D1], [D2])? Our construction uses Erdös' classical example of all rational points in ℓ_2 .

The author thanks D. Dikranjan and V. Pestov for stimulating discussions.

$\S1.$ Preliminaries.

Let (X, τ) and (G, σ) be topological groups and

$$\alpha: G \times X \to X, \quad \alpha(g, x) = gx$$

be a fixed action. We say that X is a *G*-group if α is continuous and every gtransition $\alpha^g : X \to X$, $\alpha^g(x) = gx$ is a group automorphism of X. For every *G*-group X denote by $X \times_{\alpha} G$ the standard semidirect product [Bo]. As usual, its normal subgorup $X \times \{e_G\}$ will be identified with X and, analogously, the subgroup $\{e_X\} \times G$ will be identified with G.

Definition 1.1. Let (G, σ) be a Hausdorff topological group and let (X, τ) be a Hausdorff (G, σ) -group with respect to an action α .

(a) [RS] X is a *G*-minimal group if there is no strictly coarser Hausdorff group topology $\tau' \subseteq \tau$ on X which preserves the continuity (actually, the (σ, τ', τ') continuity) of α .

(b) X is strongly G-minimal if $X \geq_{\alpha} G$ is a minimal group.

(c) (see [M, Def. 1.8]) The action α is topologically exact (t-exact, in short) if there is no strictly coarser group (not necessarily Hausdorff) topology $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$ such that α is (σ', τ, τ) -continuous. α is hereditarily t-exact (ht -exact) if for every topological subgroup P of G, the corresponding action of P on X is t-exact.

Note that, since in the definition (G, σ) is Hausdorff, every *t*-exact action α is necessarily *algebraically exact*, that is, the normal subgroup $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \{g \in G | gx = x \quad \forall x \in X\}$ is trivial. **Lemma 1.2.** Let $(X \times_{\alpha} G, \gamma)$ be a semidirect product of Hausdorff topological groups (X, τ) and (G, σ) . Suppose that a minimal group Y is a topological subgroup of $X \times_{\alpha} G$.

- (i) If $X \subseteq Y$ then X is G-minimal.
- (ii) If X is strongly G-minimal then X is G-minimal.
- (iii) If $G \subseteq Y$ and α is algebraically exact then α is t-exact.

Proof. (i) Let $\tau' \subseteq \tau$ be a coarser Hausdorff group topology on X such that the action α is (σ, τ', τ') -continuous. Then the semidirect product $(X, \tau') \\ightarrow (G, \sigma)$ is well-defined. Denote the corresponding product Hausdorff group topology by γ' . Since $\gamma' \subseteq \gamma$ and Y is minimal then $\gamma'|_Y = \gamma|_Y$. Therefore, $\gamma'|_X = \gamma|_X$. On the other hand, $\gamma'|_X = \tau'$ and $\tau = \gamma|_X$. Thus, we obtain $\tau' = \tau$.

(ii) Directly follows from (i).

(iii) Let $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$ be a strictly coarser group topology on G such that α is (σ', τ, τ) -continuous. Since α is algebraically exact then necessarily σ' is Hausdorff. The rest of the proof, via the semidirect product $(G, \alpha') \geq_{\alpha} (X, \tau)$, is similar to the case of (i).

Fact 1.3. [M, Corollary 2.8] Let $(X \times_{\alpha} G, \gamma)$ be a topological semidirect product and let α be t-exact. Suppose that X is abelian and $\gamma' \subseteq \gamma$ is a group topology which agrees with γ on X. Then $\gamma' = \gamma$.

Theorem 1.4. Let (G, σ) be a Hausdorff group and let (X, τ) be a Hausdorff abelian G-group. If X is G-minimal and the action α is t-exact then $X \times G$ is minimal (i.e., X is strongly G-minimal).

Proof. Denote by γ the original product topology of $(X, \tau) \geq_{\alpha} (G, \sigma)$. Assume that $\gamma' \subseteq \gamma$ is a coarser Hausdorff group topology on $X \geq_{\alpha} G$. Then the map

$$\alpha: (G, \gamma'|_G) \times (X, \gamma'|_X) \to (X, \gamma'|_X)$$

is continuous (see [Bo, Ch.III, §2]). Clearly, $\gamma'|_G \subseteq \gamma|_G = \sigma$ and $\gamma'|_X = \gamma|_X$. By Fact 1.3 we get $\gamma' = \gamma$. Therefore $X \succeq_{\alpha} G$ is minimal, as required.

The last result is not true in general for non-abelian X. It was shown in [EDS, Example 10] that there exists a totally minimal precompact torsion group X such that a certain semidirect product $X \\ightarrow G$ is not minimal, where $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the discrete cyclic group of order 2.

A topological group G is called *perfectly minimal* [St, p.107] if $G \times H$ is minimal for every minimal group H.

Lemma 1.5. Let G be a minimal group. Then G will be perfectly minimal in each of the following cases:

- (a) [EDS] G is complete in its two-sided uniformity (e.g., compact);
- (b) [M, Th.1.14] The center Z(G) is perfectly minimal (e.g., compact);
- (c) Algebraically, G is a semidirect product $X >_{\alpha} H$ such that the subgroups $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{Coker}(\alpha) = \{x \in X \mid gx = x \quad \forall g \in H\}$ are compact (e.g., trivial).

Proof. $Z(X \geq_{\alpha} H) = \text{Coker}(\alpha) \times \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ generally holds. Therefore, (c) directly follows from (b).

Fact 1.6. [M, Th.1.15] The arbitrary product $\prod X_i$ of minimal groups X_i with trivial center is perfectly minimal.

\S 2. GL-minimality of normed spaces.

Let E be a real normed space and let $\alpha : GL(E) \times E \to E$ be the usual continuous action of GL(E) on E, where GL(E) denotes the topological group of all topological automorphisms of E endowed with the uniform operator topology. The standard dual normed space of E will be denoted by E^* . We need a method from [M] which enables us to construct a minimal group which is denoted by $M_+(E)$ and called *induced group* of the canonical bilinear form $E \times E^* \to \mathbb{R}$, $(x, f) \mapsto f(x)$. Summarizing for convenience some definitions of [M], recall that $M_+(E)$ is the group

$$M_+(R) = ((\mathbb{R} \times E) \succ_{\nu} E^*) \succ_{\pi} \mathbb{R}_+$$

where the actions ν and π are defined as follows:

$$\nu: E^* \times (\mathbb{R} \times E) \to \mathbb{R} \times E, \quad \nu(f, (a, x)) = (a + f(x), x)$$
$$\pi: \mathbb{R}_+ \times ((\mathbb{R} \times E) \searrow_{\nu} E^*) \to (\mathbb{R} \times E) \searrow_{\nu} E^*, \quad \pi(t, (a, x, f) = (ta, tx, f).$$

Fact 2.1. [M, Theorem 3.10] For every normed space E the induced group $M_+(E)$ is perfectly minimal.

Theorem 2.2. For every normed space E there exists an abelian subgroup H of GL(E) such that the corresponding semidirect product E > H is perfectly minimal. Hence E is GL(E)-minimal.

Proof. The conclusion part follows from Lemma 1.2(i). The proof of the main part of our assertion is divided into two subcases.

Case I. dim E = 1. In this case use minimality of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ [DS] and Lemma 1.5.

Case II. dim E > 1. Represent E as a product $\mathbb{R} \times X$, where X is a closed linear subspace of codimension 1. Consider the topological group product $X^* \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and the following action

$$\alpha: (X^* \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times E \to E, \quad \alpha((f,t), (a,x)) = (ta + tf(x), tx).$$

It is straightforward to show that:

- (a) α is a continuous linear action;
- (b) The corresponding homomorphism $i_{\alpha} : X^* \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to GL(E)$ is a topological group embedding;
- (c) The semidirect product $E \succ_{\alpha} (X^* \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ is naturally topologically isomorphic to the induced group $M_+(X)$.

By Fact 2.1, the group $M_+(X)$ is minimal. Therefore we can complete the proof by defining $H := X^* \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Note that, as it was pointed out us by the referee, the abelian group H, as well as the resulting semidirect product E > H, are both connected Banach-Lie groups rather than merely topological groups.

Proposition 2.3. Let z be a fixed non-zero element of a normed space (E, || ||). Then the orbit map

$$\tilde{z}: GL(E) \to E \setminus \{0\}, \quad \tilde{z}(g) = gz$$

is surjective and open.

Proof. Assign to every pair $(f, y) \in E^* \times E$ a continuous linear operator

$$A_{f,y}: E \to E, \quad A_{f,y}(x) = x + f(x)y.$$

It is easy to show that:

- (a) $||A_{f,y} I|| = ||f|| \cdot ||y||$ (where I denotes the neutral element of GL(E));
- (b) $A_{f,y}$ is invertible if and only if $f(y) \neq -1$. The inverse is $A_{f,y}^{-1} = A_{tf,y}$, where $t = -(1 + f(y))^{-1}$.

In order to prove that \tilde{z} is surjective, consider an arbitrary non-zero element $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$. There exists a continuous functional f such that f(z) = 1 and $f(x) \neq 0$. Define y = x - z and observe that $f(y) \neq -1$. Therefore, $A_{f,y} \in GL(E)$. At the same time, $A_{f,y}$ moves z into x. Now we prove that \tilde{z} is open. It suffices to show (see, for example [RD, Proposition 5.7]) that for every neighborhood U of I in GL(E) the image $\tilde{z}(U) = Uz$ is a neighborhood of z in $X \setminus \{0\}$. There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < 1$ and

$$V_{\varepsilon} := \{ g \in GL(E) \mid ||g - I|| < \varepsilon \} \subseteq U.$$

The properties (a), (b) imply that the set

$$P_{\varepsilon} := \{A_{f,y} \mid \|f\| \le 1, \ \|y\| < \varepsilon\}$$

is contained in V_{ε} . Thus, $P_{\varepsilon}z \subseteq V_{\varepsilon}z \subseteq Uz$. On the other hand, $P_{\varepsilon}z$ contains the Ball B(z,r) with center z and radius $r := ||z||\varepsilon$. Therefore, Uz is a neighborhood of z, as required.

Note that the use of the automorphisms $A_{f,y}$ in the proof of Proposition 2.3 was inspired by [P].

Theorem 2.4. Let $\tau_{\parallel \parallel}$ be the norm topology of a normed space $(E, \parallel \parallel)$ and let $\sigma_{\parallel \parallel}$ be the uniform operator topology on GL(E). Suppose that τ' is a non-trivial group topology on E such that the action

$$\alpha: (GL(E), \sigma_{\parallel \parallel}) \times (E, \tau') \to (E, \tau')$$

remains continuous. Then $\tau' = \tau_{\parallel \parallel}$.

Proof. Case I. τ' is not Hausdorff. Then the τ' -closure $cl_{\tau'}\{0\}$ of $\{0\}$ contains a non-zero element. Moreover, since α is $(\sigma_{\parallel \parallel}, \tau', \tau')$ -continuous and $\{0\}$ is GL(E)invariant then the subgroup $cl_{\tau'}\{0\}$ is GL(E)-invariant too. By the transitivity of the action on $E \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain that $cl_{\tau'}\{0\} = E$. Therefore τ' is a trivial topology contradicting our assumption.

Case II. τ is Hausdorff. First denote $\tau_0 := \tau_{\parallel \parallel} \mid_{E \setminus \{0\}}$, $\tau'_0 := \tau' \mid_{E \setminus \{0\}}$ and fix a non-zero element z of E. Then by Proposition 2.3, the GL(E)-space $(E \setminus \{0\}, \tau_0)$ can be identified with the topological coset GL(E)-space $GL(E)/St_z$, where St_z is the stabilizer $\{g \in GL(E) \mid gz = z\}$. On the other hand, the $(\sigma_{\parallel \parallel}, \tau', \tau')$ -continuity of α implies the continuity of the orbit map:

$$\tilde{z}: (GL(E), \sigma_{\parallel \parallel}) \to (X \setminus \{0\}, \tau'_0).$$

By Proposition 2.3 and the characterization property of the quotient topology τ_0 on $GL(X)/St_z = X \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain that $\tau'_0 \subseteq \tau_0$. Since $X \setminus \{0\}$ is open under group topologies τ' and $\tau_{\parallel \parallel}$, then $\tau' \subseteq \tau_{\parallel \parallel}$. Now, by Theorem 2.2, we can conclude $\tau' = \tau_{\parallel \parallel}$. **Question 2.5.** For what infinite-dimensional normed spaces E is the group E > GL(E) minimal?

By Theorem 2.2, Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 1.2, it is equivalent to ask:

Question 2.6. Is the action of GL(E) on a normed space E t-exact?

This question seems to be unclear even for $E = \ell_2$.

§3. Aut-minimality of locally compact groups.

Let (X, τ) be a locally compact group and let $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be the group of all topological automorphisms of X endowed with the *Birkhoff topology* τ_B (see for example [HR, §26]). Recall that τ_B has a local base at the identity formed by the sets:

$$\mathcal{B}(C,U) := \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(X) \mid \varphi(c) \in Uc \text{ and } \varphi^{-1}(c) \in Uc \, \forall c \in C \},\$$

where C runs over the compact subsets of X and U runs over the neighborhoods of e in X.

Lemma 3.1. For every locally compact Hausdorff group X the action

$$\alpha : (\operatorname{Aut}(X), \tau_B) \times (X, \tau) \to (X, \tau)$$

is hereditarily t-exact.

Proof. See, for example [M, Remark 1.9].

Lemma 3.2. For every locally compact abelian Hausdorff group X TFAE:

- (a) X is Aut(X)-minimal;
- (b) $X > \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is minimal;
- (c) X > H is minimal for a certain topological subgroup H of Aut(X).

Proof. The first implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows from Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 3.1. The implication (b) \Rightarrow (c) is trivial. If $X \\ightarrow H$ is minimal then by Lemma 1.2(ii), X is H-minimal. Then, clearly, X is Aut(X)-minimal too. Hence, (c) \Rightarrow (a).

In the sequel we say that a locally compact group X is Aut-minimal if X is Aut(X)-minimal.

Theorem 3.3. Let a locally compact abelian Hausdorff group X contain as a subgroup the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then X is Aut-minimal.

Proof. The group \mathbb{T} splits in X by [HR, §25.31]. Thus, X can be represented as a topological group product $\mathbb{T} \times G$. Denote by G^* the dual group of all continuous characters of G endowed with the compact open topology. Following [M] consider the continuous action:

$$\alpha: G^* \times (\mathbb{T} \times G) \to \mathbb{T} \times G, \quad \alpha(\chi, (t, g)) = (t + \chi(g), g).$$

The dual form of [M, Theorem 2.11] shows that the semidirect product $M(G) := (\mathbb{T} \times G) \lambda_{\alpha} G^*$ is a minimal group. Moreover, it is straightforward to show that the homomorphism $\pi_{\alpha} : G^* \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{T} \times G)$ associated to the action α is a topological group embedding. Therefore, there exists a topological subgroup $H := \pi_{\alpha}(G^*)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{T} \times G)$ such that the group $X \times H$ (being topologically isomorphic to M(G)) is minimal. Now, we can apply the implication (c) \Rightarrow (a) from Lemma 3.2.

$\S4$. Minimal groups generated by division rings.

Let (K, τ) be a Hausdorff topological division ring. Denote by $(K^{\times}, \tau^{\times})$ the topological multiplicative group consisting of all non-zero elements endowed with the subspace topology $\tau^{\times} := \tau|_{K^{\times}}$. The natural group action

$$\alpha: (K^{\times}, \tau^{\times}) \times (K, \tau) \to (K, \tau), \quad \alpha(a, b) = ab$$

is t-exact. Indeed, if $\eta \subseteq \tau^{\times}$ is a coarser group topology such that the action is (η, τ, τ) -continuous, then the map

$$(K^{\times}, \eta) \to (K^{\times}, \tau^{\times}), \quad g \mapsto g \cdot 1$$

is continuous. Therefore, $\eta \supseteq \tau^{\times}$. Thus, $\eta = \tau^{\times}$, as required.

Lemma 4.1. For every Hausdorff topological division ring K the group $K \geq_{\alpha} K^{\times}$ is minimal if and only if K is a K^{\times} -minimal group.

Proof. As we have seen the action α is *t*-exact. Hence we may apply Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.4.

Let (E, σ) be a Hausdorff topological K-vector space. A Hausdorff topology σ' is called *admissible* (with respect to σ) if $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$ and (E, σ') is also a K-vector space. As usual, (E, σ) is called a *minimal K-vector space* if σ is the unique admissible topology on E. In the particular case, when E = K is a one-dimensional K-vector space, we obtain the definition of *strictly minimal division ring* in the sense of Nachbin [N] (see also *straight division ring* [W]). Fact 4.2. ([N, Theorem 2], [W, Theorem 24.2]) Let K be a non-discrete locally retrobounded division ring. Then K is strictly minimal.

Recall some important resources of locally retrobounded division rings.

Fact 4.3. ([W, $\S19$], [Wi, $\S5$]) A Hausdorff topological division ring K is locally retrobounded in each of the following cases:

- (a) K is locally compact;
- (b) K is topologized by an absolute value or a valuation;
- (c) K is a linearly ordered field.

Lemma 4.4. Let (K, σ) be a Hausdorff topological division ring and let (E, τ) be a K-vector space with respect to the action $\alpha : K \times E \to E$.

- (i) α is continuous if and only if the restriction $\alpha^{\times} : K^{\times} \times E \to E$ is continuous.
- (ii) If K is a linearly ordered field then α is continuous if and only if the restriction α₊ : K₊ × E → E is continuous, where K₊ is the multiplicative group of all positive elements in K.

Proof. (i) If α is continuous then clearly α^{\times} is continuous too. Now suppose that α^{\times} is continuous. We have to show that α is continuous at each point $(t, x) \in K \times X$. If t is not the zero element 0_K of K then the proof becomes trivial because K^{\times} is open in K. Thus, it is enough to establish the continuity of α at $(0_K, x)$ for arbitrarily fixed $x \in E$. Let U be a neighborhood of the zero element $0_E = 0_K \cdot x$ of E. Choose a neighborhood U_1 of 0_E such that $U_1 - U_1 \subseteq U$. Let 1 be the multiplicative unit of K^{\times} . Since α^{\times} is continuous at (1, x) we can pick a σ^{\times} -neighborhood W of 1 in K^{\times} and a τ -neighborhood V of x in E such that $WV \subseteq U_1$. Since K^{\times} is σ -open in K then W is a σ -neighborhood of 1 in K. Whence $W_1 := W - 1$ is a σ -neighborhood of 0_K . Then $W_1V \subseteq U$. Indeed, by our choice $WV = (W_1 + 1)V \subseteq U_1$. Thus $W_1V + V \subseteq U_1$ and hence $W_1V \subseteq U_1 - V \subseteq U_1 - U_1 \subseteq U$. Therefore the proof of (i) is completed.

(ii) The proof is similar to (i) taking into account that K_+ is open in K. \Box

Corollary 4.5. Let K be a Hausdorff topological division ring and let E be a topological K-vector space. TFAE:

- (i) E is a minimal K-vector space.
- (ii) E is a K^{\times} -minimal group.

Theorem 4.6. For every Hausdorff topological division ring K TFAE:

- (i) $K > K^{\times}$ is minimal.
- (ii) K is strictly minimal.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $K > K^{\times}$ is minimal iff K is a K^{\times} -minimal group. On the other hand, applying Corollary 4.5 for E := K we obtain that K is K^{\times} -minimal iff K is strictly minimal. Thus, (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is proved.

For every integer $n \geq 1$ denote by K^n the standard *n*-dimensional K-vector space endowed with the product topology. Then the scalar action $K \times K^n \to K^n$ leads to the well-defined semidirect product $K^n \geq K^{\times}$.

Theorem 4.7. Let K be a non-discrete locally retrobounded division ring and let ν be an arbitrary cardinal.

- (a) The group $(K \geq K^{\times})^{\nu}$ is perfectly minimal.
- (b) If K is complete then $(K^n > K^{\times})^{\nu}$ is perfectly minimal.
- (c) If K is an ordered field then K[×] can be replaced by K₊ in both cases (a) and (b).

Proof. (a) By Fact 4.1 and Theorem 4.6, the group $K \geq K^{\times}$ is minimal. Since $Z(K \geq K^{\times})$ is trivial we may apply Fact 1.6.

(b) By Nachbin's uniqueness theorem [N, Theorem 7] K^n has only one admissible topology. Therefore, K^n is a minimal K-vector space. By Corollary 4.5, K^n is a K^{\times} -minimal group. Theorem 1.4 implies that $K^n > K^{\times}$ is minimal. Then Fact 1.6 is again applicable.

(c) The given proofs may be easily modified taking into account Lemma 4.4(ii). \Box

Let K be a Hausdorff topological field. Denote by GL(n, K) the topological group of all invertible $n \times n$ matrices over K endowed with the topology inherited from K^{n^2} .

Theorem 4.8. Let K be a non-discrete locally retrobounded topological field and let H be a topological subgroup of GL(n, K). Then $(K^n > H)^{\nu}$ is perfectly minimal in each of the following cases:

- (a) H contains all diagonal matrices with non-zero entries.
- (b) K is complete and H contains all scalar matrices with non-zero entries.

(c) K is a (complete) ordered field and H contains all (resp., scalar) diagonal matrices with positive entries.

Proof. In each case $Z(K^n > H)$ is trivial (see the proof of Lemma 1.5(c)). Therefore by Lemma 1.5(b) and fact 1.6 it suffices to show the minimality of $K^n > H$. Moreover, since H carries the topology of pointwise convergence then the action is t-exact. By Theorem 1.4 we have only to establish that K^n is H-minimal. Consider the group $(K > K^{\times})^n$. As we know from Theorem 4.7, this group is minimal. On the other hand, $(K > K^{\times})^n$ is topologically isomorphic to $Y := K^n > (K^{\times})^n$. If the assertion (a) is satisfied then $K^n \subseteq Y \subseteq K^n > H$. Now Lemma 1.2(i) implies that K^n is H-minimal. Therefore, (a) is proved.

The proofs of (b) and (c) are similar to the case (a). For (b) we need only to replace Y by the group $K^n > K^{\times}$. In the case (c) we consider $(K > K_+)^n$ (or, respectively $K^n > K_+$).

Using completely different methods, Remus and Stoyanov [RS] have shown that $(\mathbb{R}^n \geq H)^{\nu}$ is minimal for every cardinal ν and a closed subgroup H of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ which contains all diagonal matrices with positive entries. Our Theorems 4.7 and 4.8 improve this result. Even in the case $K = \mathbb{R}$ we may consider any (not necessarily closed) subgroup H which contains all scalar (not necessarily all diagonal) matrices with positive entries. In particular, this implies that $\mathbb{R}^n \geq \mathbb{R}_+$ is minimal. This seems to be new even for n = 2.

$\S 5. A counterexample.$

Recently Dikranjan asked¹ [D2, Question 7.9]: is a minimal totally disconnected group always zero-dimensional? This was inspired by the more general question of Arhangel'skij [D1, Question 1.2]: does a totally disconnected group always admit a coarser zero-dimensional group topology?

In this section we negatively answer these questions by constructing the following

Example 5.1. There exists a totally disconnected (separable metrizable) perfectly minimal group G which is not zero-dimensional.

Construction. Let $\omega : \ell_2 \times \ell_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the standard scalar product, where $\omega(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i$ for $a = \langle a_i \rangle, b = \langle b_i \rangle \in \ell_2$. As was mentioned above in section 2, the induced

 $^{^1{\}rm The}$ author thanks Dikranjan for providing him the survey article [D2] before its publication.

group

12

$$M_{+}(\omega) = ((\mathbb{R} \times \ell_{2}) \land \ell_{2}) \land \mathbb{R}_{+}$$

is minimal. It will be convenient to present here explicitly the operations of this group.

Lemma 5.2. Let $u_1 = (r_1, x_1, y_1, t_1)$ and $u_2 = (r_2, x_2, y_2, t_2)$ be two elements of $M_+(w)$. Then

- (a) $u_1 \cdot u_2 = (r_1 + t_1 r_2 + t_1 w(y_1, x_2), x_1 + t_1 x_2, y_1 + y_2, t_1 t_2).$
- (b) $u_2^{-1} = (t_2^{-1}w(x_2, y_2) t_2^{-1}r_2, -t_2^{-1}x_2, -y_2, t_2^{-1}).$
- (c) $[u_1, u_2] = u_1 u_2 u_1^{-1} u_2^{-1} =$ = $((1-t_2)r_1 - (1-t_1)r_2 + t_1 w(y_1, x_2) - t_2 w(x_1, y_2), (1-t_2)x_1 - (1-t_1)x_2, \mathbb{O}, 1),$ where \mathbb{O} denotes the zero element of ℓ_2 .

Define two subgroups of ℓ_2 by letting:

$$E := \{ \langle a_i \rangle \in \ell_2 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \},\$$

$$F := \{ \langle a_i \rangle \in E \mid a_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many i's} \}$$

Fact 5.3. [E] E is a totally disconnected but not zero-dimensional group.

Observe that if $a \in E$ and $b \in F$ then $\omega(a, b)$ is a rational number. Therefore, the restriction $\omega|_{E \times F} : E \times F \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a well-defined \mathbb{Q} -bilinear map. This fact together with Lemma 5.2 easily implies that the subset

$$G := \{ (q, x, y, t) \in M_+(\omega) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}, x \in E, y \in F, t \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \}$$

forms a subgroup of $M_+(\omega)$. Moreover, G can be represented as $G = ((\mathbb{Q} \times E) \times F) \times \mathbb{Q}_+$. We show that G is the desired group.

First note that by Fact 5.3, G is a totally disconnected non-zero-dimensional group. By Lemma 1.5(c) it suffices to show that G is a minimal group. Since G is a *dense subgroup* of a minimal group $M_+(\omega)$, due to the Banaschewskii criterion [B], we have only to check that G is an *essential* subgroup of $M_+(\omega)$. That is, we need to check that $G \cap N$ is not trivial for every closed non-trivial normal subgroup Nof $M_+(\omega)$. We will prove that $G \cap N$ always contains the element $z = (1, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 1)$ which is different from the neutral element $e = (0, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 1)$. Obviously, $z \in G$. It suffices to show that always $z \in N$. Let $u_2 = (r_2, x_2, y_2, t_2) \in N \setminus \{e\}$. Since N is normal then the commutator $[u_1, u_2]$ also belongs to N for arbitrary $u_1 \in M_+(\omega)$. Our aim is to find $u_1 \in M_+(\omega)$ such that $[u_1, u_2] = z$. Since $u_2 \neq e$ then one of the following possibilities hold:

(1) $t_2 \neq 1;$

(2)
$$t_2 = 1, y_2 \neq \mathbb{O};$$

- (3) $t_2 = 1, y_2 = \mathbb{O}, x_2 \neq \mathbb{O};$
- (4) $t_2 = 1, y_2 = \mathbb{O}, x_2 = \mathbb{O}, r_2 \neq 0.$

We indicate below how we will choose the appropriate $u_1 = (r_1, x_1, y_1, t_1)$ according to each case:

(1) $u_1 = ((1 - t_2)^{-1}, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 1);$ (2) $u_1 = (0, x_1, \mathbb{O}, 1),$ where $x_1 \in E$ and $\omega(x_1, y_2) = -1;$ (3) $u_1 = (0, \mathbb{O}, y_1, 1),$ where $y_1 \in F$ and $\omega(x_2, y_1) = 1;$ (4) $u_1 = (0, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 1 + r_2^{-1}).$

It should be noted that in the case (4) we may suppose that $r_2 > 0$ (otherwise, take $u_2^{-1} = (-r_2, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}, 1)$ instead of u_2). Then $1 + r_2^{-1} \in Q_+$ and hence u_1 is well-defined. By Lemma 5.2(c) in each case we obtain $[u_1, u_2] = z$.

References

- [B] B. Banaschewskii, *Minimal topological algebras*, Math. Ann. **211** (1974), 107-114.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, Topologie Générale, Ch.III-IV, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [CHR] W.W. Comfort, K.H. Hofmann and D. Remus, *Topological groups and semigroups*, in: Recent Progress in General Topology (M. Hušek and J. van Mill, ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 57-144.
- [DS] S. Dierolf and U. Schwanengel, Examples of locally compact non-compact minimal topological groups, Pacific J. Math. 82 (1979), 349-355.
- [D1] D. Dikranjan, Proc. of Matsuyama Conference (1994), Japan (to appear).
- [D2] _____, Recent advances in minimal topological groups, Topology Appl. (to appear).
- [DPS] D. Dikranjan, Iv. Prodanov, L. Stoyanov, Topological Groups: Characters, Dualities and Minimal Group Topologies, vol. 130, Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1989.
- [E] P. Erdös, The dimension of rational points in Hilbert space, Ann. of Math. (2) **41** (1940), 734-736.
- [EDS] V. Eberhardt, S. Dierolf, U. Schwanengel, On products of two (totally) minimal topological groups and the three-space-problem, Math. Ann. 251 (1980), 123-128.
- [HR] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis I, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [M] M. Megrelishvili, Group representations and construction of minimal groups, Topology Appl. **62** (1995), 1-19.
- [N] L. Nachbin, On strictly minimal topological division rings, Bull. AMS **55** (1949), 1128-1136.
- [P] V. Pestov, An example of a non-metrizable minimal topological group which unit has type G_{δ} , Ukr. Math. J. **37** (1986), 655.
- [RS] D. Remus, L. Stoyanov, Complete minimal topological groups, Topology Appl. 42 (1991), 57-69.
- [RD] W. Roelcke, S. Dierolf, Uniform Structures on Topological Groups and their Quotients, McGraw-Hill, 1981.
- [S] R. Stephenson, *Minimal topological groups*, Math. Ann. **192** (1971), 193-195.
- [St] L. Stoyanov, On products of minimal and totally minimal groups, Proc. 11th Conf. of the Union of Bulg. Math., Sunny Beach (1981), 203-208.
- [W] S. Warner, *Topological Fields*, North Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 154, North-Holland-Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, Tokyo, 1989.
- [Wi] W. Wieslaw, *Topological Fields*, vol. 119, Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1988.

E-mail: megereli@macs.biu.ac.il