# LECTURE IV: THE MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF THE SYMMETRIC GROUPS AND THE REPRESENTATIONS OF HECKE ALGEBRAS AT ROOTS OF UNITY 

MALKA SCHAPS

We review the definition of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H_{d}(F, \xi)$ from the previous lecture. Let $\xi$ be an element of $F^{*}$. We define an algebra $H_{d}$ with generators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}$ over the field $F$, using relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(T_{i}+1\right)\left(T_{i}-\xi\right)=0,1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\
T_{i+1} T_{i} T_{i+1}=T_{i} T_{i+1} T_{i} 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\
T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n-1,|i-j| \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\xi$ is not a root of unity, then the representation theory of $H_{d}$ is essentially the same as the ordinary representation theory of $S_{d}$, with the algebra being semi-simple and the irreducible representations parameterized by the partitions of $d$. If the field $F$ is generic for $S_{n}$ and $\xi=1$, then we get the symmetric group. There is an analog of this construction for the so-called cyclotomic Hecke algebras $H_{d}^{\Lambda}$, in which the blocks correspond to weights with highest weight $\Lambda$ but we will not go into that theory in these lectures.

The main difference is in the meaning of the residues label the nodes of a partition. These are now taken to be elements of $I=\mathbb{Z} / e \mathbb{Z}$, which we will represent by numbers in the set $\{0,1, \ldots, e-1\}$. In the degenerate case they represent elements of the base field of $F$, and in the nondegenerate case they represent powers of $\xi$. More formally, we define

$$
\nu(i) \equiv \begin{cases}i & (\text { if } \xi=1) \\ \xi^{i} & (\text { if } \xi \neq 1)\end{cases}
$$

These elements $\nu(i)$ enter the theory as the eigenvalues of the following elements of $H_{d}$, known as Jucys-Murphy elements:

$$
L_{r} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(1, r)+(2, r)+\cdots+(r-1, r) & (\text { if } \xi=1) \\
\xi^{1-r} T_{r} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{2} T_{1} T_{1} T_{2} \ldots T_{r-1} T_{r} & (\text { if } \xi \neq 1) ;
\end{array} 1 \leq r \leq d .\right.
$$

In either case, the $L_{r}$ commute with each other and form a commutative subalgebra $Z$ of $H_{d}$ known as the Gelfand-Zetlin subalgebra. Let us just consider $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ in the nondegenerate case:
$\left.\left.L_{2} L_{3}=\xi^{2} T_{1} T_{1}\left(T_{2} T_{1} T_{1} T_{2}\right)=\xi^{2} T_{1}\left(T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}\right) T_{1} T_{2}\right)=\xi^{2} T_{1}\left(T_{2} T_{1} T_{2}\right) T_{1} T_{2}\right)=\xi^{2} T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}\left(T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}\right)$
$L_{3} L_{2}=\xi^{2}\left(T_{2} T_{1} T_{1} T_{2}\right) T_{1} T_{1}=\xi^{2} T_{2} T_{1}\left(T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}\right) T_{1}=\xi^{2} T_{2} T_{1}\left(T_{2} T_{1} T_{2}\right) T_{1}=\xi^{2}\left(T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}\right) T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}$
The restriction of any $H_{d}$ module $M$ to this subalgebra breaks up into a direct sum of linear representations, with eigenvalues of the form $\nu(i)$. The module induced from an irreducible module for $H_{d-1}$ will be a direct sum of indecomposable projective modules for $H_{d}$, each with irreducible socle and each socle with a single eigenvalue of the JucysMurphy element $L_{d}$. It is this choice of idempotents which distinguishes among various components in the induced modules.

The residues which appear in the nodes of the Young diagram correspond to the nodes of a graph, called a Dynkin diagram, which is a cycle of length $e$. Associated to this diagram is a matrix, called a Cartan matrix, which has 2 along the diagonal, -1 on the off-diagonals, and an additional -1 at the corners of the anti-diagonal. The $j$-column is a projection of an object denoted by $\alpha_{j}$ and called the $j$-th simple root. The sum of the simple roots is called the null-root $\delta$ and its projection is 0 . There is actually a great deal of Lie algebra theory hiding behind the scenes here. Thus for $e=5$ the Cartan matrix would be

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the modular case, it is no longer true that every indecomposable representation is irreducible. The blocks now correspond to collections of partitions which can all be reduced to a common a common core partition, obtained by removing a fixed number $w$ of rim hooks of length $e$. Each rim hook will contain a complete set of residues.

The irreducible modules correspond to those partitions which are $e$ restricted, i.e., do not have $e$ consecutive columns of the same length. (This is dual to the more traditional notion of $e$-regular, under the duality which flips the partitions across the main diagonal.) There is a diagram called the crystal graph, due originally to Kashiwara, which describes the way that the irreducible representations are built up by induction.

Example 0.1. Let us consider a Young tableau for $e=3$.

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

We can remove two rim hooks, which corresponds to adding two copies of $\delta$, giving $\Lambda_{0}-\alpha_{0}$.


We will now give that part of the crystal graph containing all the paths leading to $(4,2,1)$.


Each $i$-string, for $i \in I$, has a structure. As one goes down an $i$ string, the $i$-nodes are added starting at the bottom left and working along to the upper right. We give a few strings of this type. Note that the second 0 -string is not contained in the diagram because it does not
lead to the partition $(4,2,1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=1: \begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 0 \\
2 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \frac{0}{2} \\
\hline 1 \\
\hline \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 \\
\hline & \\
\hline 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=0: \begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline 0 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array} .
\end{array} \\
& i=0: \begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \frac{0}{1} \\
\hline 2 \\
\hline 2
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l}
\hline \frac{0}{1} \\
\hline \frac{2}{2} \\
\hline 0 \\
\hline
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is also a reduced version of the crystal graph in which the vertices correspond to blocks of the symmetric groups instead of to irreducible representations. The reduced crystal graph has a geometric representation in which the vertices are the integer lattice points determined by the b contents.

Example 0.2. If we redo the diagram in Example 0.1 as a part of the reduced crystal graph, letting a block with core $\nu$ and weight $w$ be represented by $\nu^{w}$, we get the following, where we have now included all blocks for $d \leq 7$, including one which was not in the previous diagram. Note the symmetry between left and right, which is just taking the dual partition and multiplying the residues by -1 .


One can also see that the exponents are symmetric along the strings, increasing toward the center.

The hub of a block is the set of coordinates with respect to the basis for the rows of the Cartan matrix.


The blocks correspond to the weights in a highest weight space for $\Lambda_{0}$ for the affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{A}_{e-1}$, where $e$ is the order of $q$ in $F$. Chuang and Rouquier proved that the symmetrically-placed blocks along an $i$-string have equivalent derived categories [1].

## References

[1] [CR] J. Chuang and R. Rouquier, Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-categorification, Annals of Mathermatics, 167 (2008), 245298.

