ISSN 1064-5624, Doklady Mathematics, 2016, Vol. 94, No. 3, pp. 1–2. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2016.
Original Russian Text © N.L. Gordeev, B.È. Kunyavskii, E.B. Plotkin, 2016, published in Doklady Akademii Nauk, 2016, Vol. 471, No. 2, pp. 136–138.

MATHEMATICS

Word Maps and Word Maps with Constants of Simple Algebraic Groups[1]

N. L. Gordeev[a,b,*], B. È. Kunyavskii[c,], and E. B. Plotkin[c]**

Received June 20, 2016

Abstract—In the present paper, we consider word maps w: $G^m \to G$ and word maps with constants w_Σ: $G^m \to G$ of a simple algebraic group G, where w is a nontrivial word in the free group F_m of rank m, $w_\Sigma = w_1\sigma_1 w_2 \cdots w_r\sigma_r w_{r+1}$, $w_1, \ldots, w_{r+1} \in F_m$, $w_2, \ldots, w_r \neq 1$, $\Sigma = \{(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r \mid \sigma_i \in G\backslash Z(G)\}$. We present results on the images of such maps, in particular, we prove a theorem on the dominance of "general" word maps with constants, which can be viewed as an analogue of a well-known theorem of Borel on the dominance of genuine word maps. Besides, we establish a relationship between the existence of unipotents in the image of a word map and the structure of the representation variety $R(\Gamma_w, G)$ of the group $\Gamma_w = F_m/\langle w\rangle$.

DOI: 10.1134/S1064562416060077

1. WORD MAPS WITH CONSTANTS

For any group G and any non-empty word w in the free group F_m of rank m one can define the *word map* w: $G^m \to G$ by the formula $w((g_1,\ldots,g_m)) = w(g_1,\ldots,g_m)$ (we substitute the elements g_i instead of the variables x_i). Recently one could observe growing interest to the study of word maps of simple algebraic groups (see [1–3, 5, 8, 9]). For such groups we also consider here word maps with constants. Namely, let G be a simple algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field K (we identify the group G with the group of points $G(K)$), and let $w_1,\ldots,w_{r+1} \in F_m$, where $w_2,\ldots,w_r \neq 1$, $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_r \mid \sigma_i \in G\backslash Z(G)\}$ (we allow $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$). The expression $w_\Sigma = w_1\sigma_1 w_2 \cdots w_r\sigma_r w_{r+1}$ is called a word with constants (we regard usual words as words with constants by setting $\Sigma = \phi$, $w = w_1$). The behaviour of words with constants on simple algebraic groups was studied, in particular, in [6, 7, 15]. A word with constants also gives rise to a natural word map with constants w_Σ: $G^m \to G$. In [6] such maps were used for studying products of conjugacy classes, and in [8] they served as a method for studying genuine word maps.

One of the main questions of the theory of word maps concerns their surjectivity (the answer is unknown even for the group $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(C)$, see [9]). According to a theorem of A. Borel [4], the word maps of the simple algebraic groups are dominant, i.e., the image Im w of such a map contains a dense open subset of G. A word map with constants is not necessarily dominant (for example, for $w_\Sigma = x\sigma x^{-1}$). However, for a "general" word with constants such a map turns out to be dominant.

Theorem1. *Let* $\Omega_r = (w_1, \ldots, w_{r+1})$ *be a sequence of words from* F_m *where* $w_2, \ldots, w_r \neq 1$. *Suppose that* $\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} w_i \notin [F_m, F_m]$. *Then there is a non-empty Zariski open subset* $U(\Omega_r) \subset G^r$ *such that for every sequence* $\Sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r) \in U(\Omega_r)$ *the map* w_Σ: $G^m \to G$ *is dominant.*

We also consider the case of word maps with constants for which we have $\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} w_i = 1$. Namely, let $w(x, y) \in F_2$ and $\sigma \in G$. Then the map w_σ: $G \to G$ defined by the formula $w_\sigma(x) = w(x, \sigma)$ is a word map with constants (here the constants are powers of σ). We have the following theorem, which can serve as a tool in studying word maps in two variables (see [8]).

Theorem 2. *Let* $w \in [F_2, F_2]$. *Then there is a non-empty Zariski open subset* $U(w) \subset G$ *such that for every* $\sigma \in U(w)$ *the set* $\{g(\mathrm{Im}\, w_\sigma)g^{-1} | g \in G\}$ *is Zariski dense in* G.

2. SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS IN Im w

In the paper [3] it was proven that for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ the image of the word map w: $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)^m \to \mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ contains all semisimple elements of $\mathrm{SL}_2(K)$ (here K is an algebraically closed field) except possibly $-\mathbf{1}$ ($\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity matrix). Using the fact that for all simple algebraic groups except those of types A_r, D_{2r+1}, E_6, the corresponding root system contains a subsystem of the same rank which consists of the union of

[1] The article was translated by the authors.

[a] Herzen State Pedagogical University of Russia, St. Petersburg
[b] St. Petersburg State University
[c] Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel
*e-mail: nickgordeev@mail.ru
**e-mail: kunyav@gmail.com

disjoint subsystems of type A_1, one can get the following assertion.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a simple algebraic group, and let w*: $G^m \to G$ *be a word map. Suppose that G is not of type* A_r, $r > 1$, D_{2r+1}, *or* E_6. *Then every regular semisimple element of G is contained in* Im *w. Moreover, for every semisimple element* $g \in G$ *there exists an element* g_0 *of order two such that* $gg_0 \in$ Im w.

3. UNIPOTENT ELEMENTS IN Im w AND THE REPRESENTATION VARIETY OF A FINITELY GENERATED GROUP

Let T and W denote, respectively, a fixed maximal torus and the Weyl group of G, and let π: $G \to T/W$ be the quotient morphism (see [14]). For a word map w: $G^m \to G$ define $Y_w = w^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$, $\Xi_w = (\pi \cdot w)^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$ (here $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity element of G and also the image of the identity element of T in T/W). Then $Y_w \subset \Xi_w$ are affine subvarieties of G^m, $\Xi_w = \{(g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G \mid w(g_1,\ldots, g_m)$ is a unipotent element$\}$, $Y_w = R(\Gamma_w, G)$ is the variety of representations of the one-relator group $\Gamma_w = F_m/\langle w\rangle$ in the group G. Thus, the existence of nontrivial unipotent elements in Im w is equivalent to the inequality $Y_w \neq \Xi_w$. For example, in the simplest case $G = SL_2(K)$ and $m = 2$ all irreducible components of the variety Ξ_w are of dimension 5, and thus the existence of nontrivial unipotent elements in Imw follows from the existence of irreducible components of Y_w of dimension ≤ 4.

The representation variety of a group is an importent object which can be regarded from various points of view (see, e.g., [10–13]) and may be crucial for answering the question on the existence of unipotent elements in Imw.

The existence of unipotent elements in Im w is an open question even in the case $G = SL_2(K)$. In [3], Bandman and Zarhin proved that for $G = SL_2(K)$ (ch $K = 0$) and $w \notin [[F_m, F_m], [F_m, F_m]]$, the set Im w contains all unipotent elements. Besides, they gave an example of a computer-aided calculation for a word $w \in [[F_m, F_m], [F_m, F_m]]$ such that Im w also contains all unipotent elements. We consider a similar example for which we calculate (without using computer) the varieties Y_w, Ξ_w. Let K be an algebraically closed field (ch $K = 0$), and let w: $SL_2(K)^2 \to SL_2(K)$ be the word map induced by the word $w(x, y) = [[x, y], x[x, y]x^{-1}]$. Let B and T denote, respectively, the upper triangular and diagonal matrices in $SL_2(K)$, let $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and let C_ω be the conjugacy class of ω в $SL_2(K)$. Then we have the following fact.

Theorem 4. *The variety* Ξ_w *has exactly three irreducible components:*

$$\Xi_w^0 = \overline{\{g(B \times B)g^{-1} | g \in G\}},$$
$$\Xi_w^1 = \overline{\{g(T \times \omega B)g^{-1} | g \in G\}},$$
$$\Xi_w^2 = C_\omega \times G,$$

and the variety Y_w *also has exactly three irreducible components:*

$$Y_w^0 = \Xi_w^0, Y_w^1 = \overline{\{g(T \times \omega T)g^{-1} | g \in G\}} \subset \Xi_w^1,$$
$$\dim Y_w^1 = 4, \quad Y_w^2 = \Xi_w^2$$

(*here bar stands for the Zariski closure*).

Thus the existence of the component Y_w^1 of dimension 4 guarantees that all unipotents lie in Imw. Although a full proof of this theorem requires significant technical arguments, the mere fact that all unipotents belong to Im w is proved by an elementary calculation of the value of $w(s, \omega b)$ for $s \in T$, $s^4 \neq \mathbf{1}$, $b \in B$, $b \notin T$.

The research of the first author was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and RFBR grant 14–01–00820. The research of the second author was supported by ISF grant 1207/12. The research of the third author was supported by ISF grant 1207/12 and the Emmy Noether Institute for Mathematics.

REFERENCES

1. T. Bandman and Sh. Garion, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2), 175–211 (2014).
2. T. Bandman and B. Kunyavskii, J. Algebra **382**, 282–302 (2013).
3. T. Bandman and Yu. Zarhin, ArXive 1407.3447v4[math.GR]; Eur. J. Math. (2016) (doi 10.1007/s40879-016-0101-9); http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40879-016-0101-9.10.1007/s40879-016-0101-9
4. A. Borel, Enseign. Math. **29** (1–2), 151–164 (1983).
5. A. Elkasapy and A. Thom, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (5), 1553–1565 (2014).
6. N. Gordeev, J. Algebra **173**, 715–744 (1995).
7. N. Gordeev, St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (4), 709–723 (1998).
8. N. Gordeev, J. Algebra **425**, 215–244 (2015).
9. E. Klimenko, B. Kunyavskii, J. Morita, and E. Plotkin, Toyama Math. J. **37**, 25–53 (2015).
10. A. Lubotzky and A. R. Magid, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. **58** (336), 117 (1985).
11. V. P. Platonov, "Rings and manifolds of representations of finitely generated groups," in *Issues of Algebra* (Universitetskoe, Minsk, 1989), **Vol. 4**, pp. 36–40 [in Russian].
12. V. P. Platonov and V. V. Benyash-Krivetz, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **183** (4), 203–213 (1991).
13. A. S. Rapinchuk, V. V. Benyash-Krivetz, and V. I. Chemousov, Israel J. Math. **93**, 29–71 (1996).
14. T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, Lect. Notes Math. **131** (1970).
15. A. Stepanov, J. Algebra **362**, 12–29 (2012).

SPELL OK