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# GEOMETRICAL EQUIVALENCE OF GROUPS 

B.Plotkin ${ }^{\sharp}$, E. Plotkin, ${ }^{~}$, A.Tsurkov ${ }^{\sharp}$<br>\# Institute of Mathematics Hebrew University, Givat Ram, 91904. Jerusalem, Israel and<br>${ }^{\text {b }}$ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Bar Ilan University Ramat Gan, 52900, Israel


#### Abstract

The notion of geometrical equivalence of two algebras, which is basic for this paper, is introduced in [5], [6]. It is motivated in the framework of universal algebraic geometry, in which algebraic varieties are considered in arbitrary varieties of algebras. Universal algebraic geometry (as well as classic algebraic geometry) studies systems of equations and its geometric images. i.e., algebraic varieties, consisting of solutions of equations. Geometrical equivalence of algebras means, in some sense, equal possibilities for solving systems of equations.

In this paper we consider results about geometrical equivalence of algebras. and special attention is paied on groups (abelian and nilpotent).


## Equivalence of universal algebras

1. Let $\Theta$ be a variety of algebras, $W=W(X)$ be the free algebra in $\Theta$ over the finite set $X$, and $G$ an algebra in $\Theta$. A congruence $T$ of $W$ is called $G$-closed if

$$
T=\bigcap_{\mu \in A} \operatorname{Ker} \mu,
$$

where $A$ is a set of homomorphisms $\mu: W \rightarrow G$. The set of all $G$-closed congruences in given $W$ is denoted by $C l_{G}(W)$. This set can be considered as semilattice since the intersection of two $G$-closed congruences is also $G$ closed congruence.
Definition. Two algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are called geometrically equivalent (or, shortly, equivalent) if

$$
C l_{G_{1}}(W(X))=C l_{G_{2}}(W(X)),
$$

for every finite set $X$.
Theorem 1 [6]. Algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent if and only if every finitely generated subalgebra of $G_{1}$ can be approximated by subalgebras of $G_{2}$ and vice versa.

Theorem 2. If the algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent then they generate the same quasivariety.

Proof.
Let the quasiidentity $u$ of the form

$$
w_{1} \equiv w_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \ldots \wedge w_{n} \equiv w_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow w \equiv w^{\prime}
$$

be fulfilled in $G_{1}$. Check that $u$ is fulfilled in $G_{2}$. It is enough to check that $u$ is fulfilled in every finitely generated subalgebra $H$ of $G_{2}$. Since $H$ is approximated by subalgebras of $G_{1}$, we have the injection

$$
\mu: H \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} G_{1 \alpha}
$$

where all $G_{1 \alpha}$ are subalgebras in $G_{1}$. Since $u$ is fulfilled in $G_{1}$, then $u$ is fulfilled in each $G_{1 \alpha}$. and, therefore in $\prod_{\alpha} G_{1 \alpha}$. Since $\mu$ is injection. $u$ is fulfilled in $H$. Thus, $u$ is fulfilled in $G_{2}$. Analogously. if $u$ is fulfilled in $G_{2}$. then this quasiidentity is fulfilled in $G_{1}$.
2. A class of $\Theta$-algebras $\mathscr{X}$ is called a prevariety if it is closed under Cartesian products and subalgebras ([3]. [7]). We call a prevariety $x$ locally closed if for a given $G \in \Theta$ the following property takes place: if every finitely generated subalgebra of $G$ lies in $\mathfrak{X}$ then $G \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Every algebra $G \in \Theta$ generates the locally closed prevariety. and every quasivariety of algebras of $\Theta$ is a locally closed prevariety.

Theorem 3. Algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent if and only if they generate the same locally closed prevariety.

Proof.
Consider operators on classes $\mathfrak{X}$ of algebras of $\Theta$. As usual, $C \mathfrak{X}$ is the class of Cartesian products of algebras of $\mathfrak{X}, S \mathfrak{X}$ consists of subalgebras of algebras of $\mathfrak{X}$. and $L \mathfrak{X}$ is defined by the rule: $G \in L X$, if every finitely generated subalgebra $H$ of $G$ belongs to $\mathfrak{X}$. We show that for any $\mathfrak{X}$ the class $L S C(\mathfrak{X})$ is the locally closed prevariety generated by $\mathfrak{X}$. It is well known that $C S<S C$. Therefore, the class $S C \mathfrak{X}$ is a prevariety generated by $\mathfrak{X}$. Let us prove that $L S C(\mathcal{X})$ is a locally closed prevariety.

First note that if $S \mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}$ then $\mathfrak{X} \subset L \mathfrak{X}$. Indeed, if $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, and $H$ is a finitely generated subalgebra in $G$, then $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ and, thus, $G \in L \mathfrak{X}$.

Check that $S L=L$. Take $G \in S L(\mathfrak{X})$. Then $G$ is a subalgebra in $G_{1} \in L \nsupseteq$. Every finitely generated subalgebra of $G_{1}$ is contained in the class $\mathfrak{X}$. Therefore, every finitely generated subalgebra of $G$ belongs to $\mathfrak{X}$. Thus. $G \in L \mathfrak{X}$. On the other hand, if $G \in L \mathfrak{X}$, then $G \in S L(\mathfrak{X})$. Thus, every class of the type $L \mathfrak{X}$ is $S$-closed. Therefore, $L \mathfrak{X} \subset L(L \mathfrak{X})=L^{2} \mathfrak{X}$. Let us check the inverse inclusion. Take $G \in L^{2} \mathfrak{X}$ and let $H$ be a finitely generated subalgebra in $G$. Then $H \in L \mathfrak{X}$, and $H \in \mathfrak{X}$. This means that $G \in L \mathfrak{X}$ and $L^{2} \mathfrak{X} \subset L \mathfrak{X}$. Since $L \mathfrak{X} \subset L^{2} \mathfrak{X}$, we have $L^{2} \mathfrak{X}=L \mathfrak{X}$. Hence, every class $L \mathfrak{X}$ is $L$ and $S$ closed. In particular, this relates to the class $L S C(\mathfrak{X})$.

Check that $L S C(\mathfrak{X})$ is also $C$-closed. First, check that $C L<L S C$. Take an arbitrary $\mathfrak{X}$ and $G \in C L \mathfrak{X}$. Then $G=\prod_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha} \in L \mathfrak{X}$. Let $H$ be a finitely generated subalgebra in $G$, with generators $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$. For every $\alpha$ in $G_{\alpha}$ take subalgebra $H_{\alpha}$, generated by the elements $g_{1}(\alpha), \ldots, g_{n}(\alpha)$. We have $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $H \subset \prod_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}, H \in S C X, G \in L S C(\mathcal{X})$. Then, $\operatorname{CLSC}(\mathfrak{X}) \subset \operatorname{LSCSC}(\mathfrak{X})=\operatorname{LSC}(\mathfrak{X})$. The inverse inclusion is also holds and the class $\operatorname{LSC}(\mathfrak{X})$ is $C$-closed. Thus, this class is locally closed prevariety, which is the minimal locally closed prevariety, containing $\mathfrak{X}$. In particular, if $G$ is an algebra in $\Theta$ then $\operatorname{LSC}(G)$ is locally closed prevariety, generated by $G$.

Now let algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be equivalent. Every finitely generated subalgebra of $G_{1}$ is approximated by subalgebras of $G_{2}$ and, hence, belongs to prevariety $S C\left(G_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $G_{1} \in L S C\left(G_{2}\right)$. Analogously, $G_{2} \in \operatorname{LSC}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Thus.

$$
\operatorname{LSC}\left(G_{1}\right)=\operatorname{LSC}\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

Let, conversely, the equality above holds. Then every finitely generated subalgebra $H$ from $G_{1}$ is contained in $S C\left(G_{2}\right)$. Such $H$ is approximated by subalgebras in $G_{2}$. Similarly, every finitely generated subalgebra in $G_{2}$ is approximated by subalgebras in $G_{1}$. Using Theorem 1 we conclude that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent.
3. An algebra $G \in \Theta$ is called subdirectly indecomposable if there is a non-zero congruence $T$ in $G$ which is contained in all non-zero congruences in $G$. Simple algebra is an algebra which has only zero congruence and
unity congruence. Simple algebra is subdirectly indecomposable and the corresponding congruence is unity congruence.

Proposition 1. If two subdirectly indecomposable finite algebras $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent then they are isomorphic.

Proof.
Equivalency of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ implies injections $G_{2} \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$. Therefore, $\left|G_{1}\right|=\left|G_{2}\right|$ and the injection $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is an isomorphism.
Corollary. Finite simple algebras are equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic.

In particular, finite simple groups are equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic. The same reasoning can be applied to finite dimensional simple associative and Lie algebras.

## Equivalence of groups

1. From Theorem 2 immediately follows

Proposition 2. If the groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent and one of them is torsion free, then the other one is also torsion free.

Now, let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be Abelian groups.
Theorem 4. Abelian groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent if and only if they have the same quasidentities.

Proof.
It suffices to prove that if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same quasiidentitics then they are equivalent.
A.Berzinsh has proved (see [6]: [1]) that two Abelian groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent if and only if.

1. Groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same exponents.
2. For every prime number $p$ the exponents of the corresponding Sylov subgroups $G_{1} p$ and $G_{2} p$ coincide.

Let us consider the special quasiidentity $u$ :

$$
x^{p^{n+1}}=1 \Rightarrow x^{p^{n}}=1
$$

This quasiidentity is fulfilled in a group $G$ if and only if every $p$-element in $G$ has the order, which divides $p^{n}$. Indeed, let the order of each $p$-element divides $p^{n}$. Then $g^{p^{n}}=1$ for every $p$-element $g$. Let now $g^{p^{n+1}}=1$. Then $g$ is a $p$-element and $g^{p^{n}}=1$.

Let $g$ be a $p$-element, and the quasiidentity $u$ be fulfilled in $G$. Then for some $m$ we have $g^{p^{m}}=1$. Suppose $p^{m}$ is the order of $g$ and $p^{m}$ does not divide
$p^{n}$. Then $m>n . m=m_{0}+n+1, m_{0} \geq 0$. Therefore, $g^{p^{m}}=g_{1}^{p^{n+1}}=1$. $g_{1}=g^{p^{m_{0}}}$. By the condition $g_{1}^{p^{n}}=1$ and $g^{p^{m_{0}+n}}=1$. Since $m_{0}+n<m$ and $p^{m}$ is the order of $g$. we get contradiction. Thus, the orders of all $p$-elements of $G$ divide $p^{n}$.

Let Abelian groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same quasiidentities. Then they have the same identities, and, therefore, their exponents coincide. Let $G_{1 p}$ and $G_{2 p}$ be Sylow $p$-subgroups. Suppose the exponent of $G_{1 p}$ is $p^{n}$. If $G_{1 p}$ is trivial, then $n=0$. All $p$-elements of $G_{1}$ belong to $G_{1 p}$ and their orders divide $p^{n}$. The quasiidentity $x^{p^{n+1}}=1 \Rightarrow x^{p^{n}}=1$ holds in $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Therefore, $G_{2 p}$ has the exponent, which divides $p^{n}$. If this exponent less than $p^{n}$, then acting backwards we get contradiction. Hence, exponents of Sylow subgroups $G_{1 p}$ and $G_{2 p}$ coincide.

Now let the exponent of $G_{1 p}$ be infinite. If the exponent of $G_{2 p}$ is finite, then there is a quasiidentity in $G_{2}$ which does not exist in $G_{1}$. Thus, the exponent of $G_{2 p}$ should be infinite too. Conditions of the criterion are fulfilled and the theorem is proved.
2. Now let $G$ be a nilpotent group and $P(G)$ be its periodic part.

Theorem 5. If groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are geometrically equivalent then $P\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(G_{2}\right)$ are equivalent too.
Proof.
Let $H$ be a finitely generated and, hence, finite subgroup in $P\left(G_{1}\right)$. $H$ is approximated by subgroups of $G_{2}$, which are also finite and. therefore, belong to $P\left(G_{2}\right)$. Analogously. every finitely generated subgroup of $P\left(G_{2}\right)$ is approximated by subgroups of $P\left(G_{1}\right)$. Thus, $P\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(G_{2}\right)$ are equivalent.

Similarly one can prove that if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent then their Sylow $p$-subgroups $G_{1 p}$ and $G_{2 p}$ are equivalent.
Theorem 6. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be periodic nilpotent groups. They are geometrically equivalent if and only if for every prime $p$ Sylou: subgroups $G_{1 p}$ and $G_{2 p}$ are equivalent.

Proof.
For every prime $p$ consider Sylov decomposition of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ :

$$
G_{1}=\prod_{p \in \Pi_{1}} G_{1 p} . \quad G_{2}=\prod_{p \in \Pi_{2}} G_{2 p}
$$

Since unity group is equivalent only to unity group, it follows that if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent then $\Pi_{1}=\Pi_{2}=\Pi$ and for every $p \in \Pi$ groups $G_{1 p}$ and $G_{2 p}$ are equivalent.

According to [5] it follows that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent.
Now consider torsion free nilpotent groups.
Such a group $G$ is called subdirectly indecomposable in the class of torsion free groups. if there is a nontrivial normal subgroup $H$ in $G$, such that $G / H$ is torsion free and $H$ lies in every normal subgroup with this property.

Proposition 3. If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent finitely generated torsion free groups, which are subdirectly indecomposable in the class of torsion free groups. then there exist injections $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ and $G_{2} \rightarrow G_{1}$.

Proof.
By the condition $G_{1}$ can be approximated by torsion free subgroups of $G_{2}$. Since $G_{1}$ is subdirectly indecomposable in the class of torsion free groups. there is an injection $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$. Similarly, there is an injection $G_{2} \rightarrow G_{1}$.

Recall that a nilpotent torsion free group has finite rank $r=r(G)$. if in $G$ there is normal series of the length $r$ whose factors are isomorphic to subgroups of the additive group of rational numbers. Every finitely generated nilpotent group without torsion has a finite rank. If $H$ is a subgroup of a group of rank $r$, then $r(H) \leq r$. A subgroup $H$ is called isolated, if $x^{n} \in H \Rightarrow x \in H$. If $H$ is a proper isolated subgroup, then $r(H)<r$. A group $G$ is called divisible, if for every $a \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $b \in G$ such that $b^{n}=a$. Every torsion free nilpotent group $G$ can be embedded into its completion. which is the minimal divisible nilpotent torsion free group. containing $G[4]$.

Theorem 7. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be torsion free finitely generated nilpotent groups, which are subdirectly indecomposable in the class of torsion free groups. If they are geometrically equivalent then $r\left(G_{1}\right)=r\left(G_{2}\right)$. and their completions are isomorphic.

Proof.
Using Proposition 3 we have injections $G_{2} \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$. Then $r\left(G_{2}\right) \leq$ $r\left(G_{1}\right) \leq r\left(G_{2}\right)$. Therefore. $r\left(G_{1}\right)=r\left(G_{2}\right)$. We also have injections for the completions

$$
\tilde{G}_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{1} \rightarrow \dot{G}_{2}
$$

Since $r(G)=r(\tilde{G})$, we have $r\left(\tilde{G}_{1}\right)=r\left(\tilde{G}_{2}\right)$.
Complete subgroup in a nilpotent torsion free group is an isolated subgroup. Therefore. if the injection $\tilde{G}_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2}$ is not a surjection, then $r \tilde{G}_{1}<$ $r \tilde{G}_{2}$. Now $r\left(\dot{G}_{1}\right)=r\left(\tilde{G}_{2}\right)$ implies that the injection $r\left(\tilde{G}_{1}\right) \rightarrow r\left(\tilde{G}_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Problem 1. Is it true that every torsion free nilpotent group s geometrically equivalent to its completion? ${ }^{1}$ If not, consider the conditions when geometrical equivalence takes place.
3. Examples.

Consider the group $G=U T_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ of $n$-unitriangular matrices over integers. This group is torsion free nilpotent group of nilpotency class $(n-1)$ with finite number of generators. It has isolated cyclic center which is contained in every isolated normal subgroup of $G$. Thus, $G$ is subdirectly indecomposable in the class of torsion free groups. Denote by $\hat{G}$ the similar group $U T_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ over the field of rational numbers, which is, in fact, completion of $G$. Let us show (A.Tsurkov) that
Proposition 4. The groups $G=U T_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\dot{G}=U T_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ are equivalent. Proof.

The set $\sigma=\left\{\sigma_{i j}\right\}$, where $\sigma_{i j}=\frac{Z}{r^{k}}, r \in \mathbb{N} . k \leq j-i$, if $j \leq i$ and $\sigma_{i j}=0$ otherwise, is the net of additive subgroups (see, for example [2], [8]). Consider the net subgroup $G_{\sigma}$ in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ and take the subgroup $U_{\sigma}=G_{\sigma} \cap U T_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ generated by transvections $x_{i j}(u), u \in \sigma_{i j}$. It coincides with the subgroup $G_{r}, r>0, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, of $\tilde{G}$, which consists of matrices $g=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \frac{a_{12}}{T} & \cdots & \frac{a_{1 n}}{\frac{a_{1}}{n-1}} \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & \frac{a_{2 n}}{r_{n}-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that every finitely generated subgroup of $\dot{G}$ lies in some $G_{r}$, where $r$ is the common denominator of all entries of all matrices generated this subgroup. Besides that, the homomorphism, assigning to every $g$ the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the injection $G_{r} \rightarrow G$. Hence, $G$ and $\tilde{G}$ are equivalent.
Using similar arguments and the notion of net subgroup for Chevalley group ([10], \{11]). it can be shown, that the unipotent $\operatorname{subgroup} U(\Phi, \mathbb{Z})$ of the Chevalley group $G(\Phi, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\Phi$ is a root system, is equivalent to its. completion.

Consider other examples of group equivalence.

[^0]Let $P$ be a field and $R=P[x]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the groups of $n$-unitriangular matrices over $P$ and $R$ respectively. If char $P=0$ then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are torsion free nilpotent groups. It is easy to check that if the field $P$ is infinite then the groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are equivalent.

Now let $P$ be a finite field of odd characteristic $p$. Consider the group $G$ of matrices over $P$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is a nilpotent finite group of class 2 with exponent $p$. Let $L$ be an extension of $P$ of degree 2 and $\tilde{G}$ be the group of matrices $g$ over $L=P(\alpha)$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z_{1}+\alpha z_{2} \\
0 & 1 & y_{1}+\alpha y_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is also a nilpotent finite group of class 2 with exponent $p$. Then the homomorphism $g \rightarrow\left(g_{1} \cdot g_{2}\right)$, where

$$
g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z_{1} \\
0 & 1 & y_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . \quad g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z_{2} \\
0 & 1 & y_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

gives the injection $\bar{G} \rightarrow G \times G$. Hence (A. Tsurkov), the groups $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are equivalent. Of course. they are non-isomorphic and non-decomposable in direct product.

Now we point out the problems related to equivalency of nilpotent groups.
Problem 2. Is it true that two torsion free (periodic) nilpotent groups with the same quasiidentities are equivalent?

Problem 3. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two equivalent nilpotent groups. Is it true that torsion free groups $G_{1} / P\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2} / P\left(G_{2}\right)$ are also equivalent?

Problem 4. Let $F=F(X)$ be a free nilpotent group of class $n$. Describe all groups $G$ which are equivalent to $F$.

## Remarks on algebraic varieties over a finite group

1. Let us return to general definitions, given in the beginning of the paper. Closed congruences in free algebras. (in particular, closed norml subgroups in free groups) are dual to (affine) algebraic varieties.

Let $\Theta$ be a variety of algebras, $W(X)=W, X$-finite, be the free algebra in $\Theta$. Fix an algebra $G \in \Theta$. The set $\operatorname{Hom}(W, G)$ is considered to be an affine space, whose points are homomorphisms. Define the Galois correspondence between sets of points $A$ in $\operatorname{Hom}(W, G)$ and binary relations $T$ in $W$ by the
rule

$$
\begin{gathered}
T=A^{\prime}=\cap_{\mu \in A} \text { Ker } \mu, \\
A=T^{\prime}=\{\mu \cdot T \subset K e r \mu\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that the congruence $T=A^{\prime}$ is called $G$-closed congruence in $W$. The set of points $A=T^{\prime}$ is called an affine algebraic variety over the algebra $G$ in affine space $\operatorname{Hom}(W, G)$. For every set $A \subset \operatorname{Hom}(W, G)$ one can take its closure $A^{\prime \prime}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, which is the minimal affine space, containing $A$. There is , also, the closure $T^{\prime \prime}=\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$.

Consider examples. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class in $\Theta$. Denote the set of all points $\mu: W \rightarrow G$. such that $I m \mu \in \mathfrak{X}$. by $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{X})$. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a variety, then

Proposition 5. The set $A=A(\mathfrak{X})$ is an algebraic variety over the algebra G.

Proof.
Let $T=A^{\prime}=\cap_{\mu \in A} K$ er $\mu$. All $W /$ Ker $\mu$ are contained in the variety $\mathfrak{X}$. Hence. $W / T \in \mathbb{X}$. If now $\mu \in A^{\prime \prime}$, then $T \subset K e r \mu$. Therefore. W/Ker $\mu$ is the homomorphic image of $W / T$. Since $W / T \in X$, the algebra $W /$ Ker $\mu$ belongs to $X$. Then $\operatorname{Im} \mu \in \mathfrak{X}, \mu \in A=A(X)$. Thus, $A=A^{\prime \prime}$.

Let us generalize this proposition. Let $X$ be a class of algebras, which is hereditary under subalgebras.

Theorem 8. 1. Let $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ be the set of all subalgebras in $G$. belonging to $\mathfrak{X}$, $A=A(X)$. Then. $T=A^{\prime}$ is the verbal congruence in $W$ of all identities of the class $\mathfrak{X}(G)$.
2. The closure $A^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime}$ consists of all points $\mu$ from $\operatorname{Hom}(W, G)$. for which the identities of the set $T$ are fulfilled in $\operatorname{Im} \mu$.

Proof.
Let $\bar{T}$ be the congruence of identities of the class $\mathfrak{X}(G)$. For every $H \in$ $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ denote by $T(H)$ the congruence of identities in $H$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{T}=\cap_{H \in X(G)} \bar{T}(H) . \\
\bar{T}(H)=\cap_{\mu: W \rightarrow H} K e r \mu .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is hereditary. $\operatorname{Im} \mu \in \mathfrak{X}$ for every $\mu: W \rightarrow H$. It follows that $T \subset \bar{T}$. Let $\mu: W \rightarrow G$ be an arbitrary homomorphism such that $\operatorname{Im} \mu \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then $H=I m \mu \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$. This implies that $\bar{T} \subset T$ and $\bar{T}=T$.

Let now $\mu \in T^{\prime \prime}=A^{\prime \prime}$. This means that $T \subset$ Ker $\mu$. Then identitics of $T$ are fulfilled in $I m \mu$. Now let the identity of $T$ be fulfilled in $W / K e r \mu$. This means that $T \subset K e r \mu$ and $\mu \subset T^{\prime}$.

These two propositions illustrate the definition of the closure of a set $A$.
In the next two items we give the notions, which look very natural from the point of view of algebraic geometry of finite groups.
2. Let $\Theta$ be the variety of all groups and $G$ be a finite group, $|G|=m$. Let $|X|=n$. Then $|H o m(W, G)|=|G|^{|X|}=m^{n}$. If $A=T^{\prime}$ is an affine algebraic varicty over $G$, then

$$
\operatorname{vr}(G)=\frac{|A|}{m^{n}}
$$

is called the volume of the variety $A$. Define

$$
v(T)=\sup _{G} v_{T}(G)
$$

where supremm is taken over all finite $G$. The function $u(T)$ naturally characterizes the system of equations $T$ in the class of finite groups. The similar characteristic can be considered also for the class of finite simple groups.
3. Consider the situation. when the set $X$ consists of two variables $X=$ $\{x, y\}$. and the finite group $G$ is fixed. For $a . b \in G$. one can consider the homomorphism $\mu=\mu_{a, b}: F \rightarrow G$. given by $\mu(x)=a . \mu(y)=b$. where $F$ is the free group with two generators. To each set of elements $T$ in $F=F(x, y)$ corresponds binary relation $\rho=\rho(T)$ on the group $G$

$$
a \rho b \Leftrightarrow \mu=\mu_{a . b} \in T^{\prime}=A .
$$

This means that $T \subset K e r \mu_{a, b}$ or $f(a, b)=1$ for all $f \in T$.
Suppose that the set $T$ is symmetric, i.e.

$$
f(x, y) \in T \Leftrightarrow f(y . x) \in T
$$

and reflexive $f(x, y) \in T \Rightarrow f(x, x) \in T$. If $T$ is a symmetric and reflexive set. then the algebraic variety $A=T^{\prime}$ and the relation $\rho$ are symmetric and reftexive. Such a relation $\rho$ detemmes the graph on $G$. which is the mion of its comected components.

Examples. For the set $T$ take the commutator $[x, y]$. Since $[x, y]=1 \Rightarrow$ $[y \cdot x]=1$. this is symmetric relation. This $T$ detemmes graph on the group $G$. which is called commuting graph of the group (see [9] for further information).

Consider generalizations of this graph.
Denote

$$
u_{1}=u_{1}(x, y)=[x, y] . \quad u_{2}=\left[u_{1}, y\right], \ldots, u_{n}=\left[u_{r i-1}, y\right], \ldots
$$

and $T=\left\{u_{n}(x, y) \cdot u_{n}(y, x)\right\}$. The corresponding relation $\rho_{n}$ is called the relation of $n$-step nilpotency (Engel relation). Elements $a$ and $b$ from a group satisfy nilpotency relation, if there is $n$ such that $a \rho_{n} b$. Recall that a finite group $G$ is nilpotent if and only if there exists $n$ such that the identity $u_{n} \equiv 1$ holds in $G$.

Let us introduce now the relation of solvability. Take $v_{1}=v_{1}(x, y)=[x, y]$. and let $v_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, x\right], v_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\left[v_{1}, y\right\}$. Set $v_{2}=\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$. Having $v_{n-1}$. define $v_{n-1}^{\prime}=\left[v_{n-1}, x\right] . v_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}=\left[v_{n-1}: y\right]$, and $v_{n}=\left[v_{n-1}^{\prime} \cdot v_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$. It is clear that if the group $G$ is solvable of class $n$, then we have the identity $v_{n} \equiv 1$.

Here arises the natural conjecture:
A finite group $G$ is solvable if and only if in $G$ holds the identity $v_{n} \equiv 1$ for some $n$.

Let us take now $T_{n}=\left\{v_{n}(x, y), v_{n}(y, x)\right\}$, and $\rho_{n}=\rho\left(T_{n}\right)$. This relation $\rho_{n}$ is the relation of $n$-step solvability between elements of the group. Elements $a$ and $b$ from a group satisfy relation of solvability. if there is $n$ such that $a p_{n} b$.

These relations give rise to study of the corresponding graphs. and for such $T$ it is natural to consider the function $v(T)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is true for the torsion free nilpotent groups of class 2 (A.Tsurkov). For torsion free nilpotent groups of class $n, n \geq 3$ there are counterexamples (V.Bludov).

