

Lecture 1

~~Let~~ F The two lectures will be independent.

lect 1. Supersingular mod p reps. of $GL_2(F)$

2. Weights in Serre's conjecture for totally real fields in which p ramifies.

Let F/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension, $\mathcal{O} \subseteq F$, $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$ uniformizer, $k = \mathcal{O}/(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$. The local Langlands correspondence should be an ^{explicit} map

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{continuous smooth} \\ \text{Galois reps} \\ \rho: \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{smooth admissible} \\ \text{irreducible } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-ups of} \\ GL_n(F) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\rho \longmapsto \pi(\rho)$$

What is the image of this map? In general, the set on the RHS is far too large. One restriction on the objects in the image comes from the weights in Serre's conjecture and has been mentioned in Diamond's lecture.

Serre's conjecture

Def Let L/\mathbb{Q} be a global field, \mathfrak{p} a place of L such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = F$. A Serre weight at \mathfrak{p} is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -up rep. of $GL_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p})$, which necessarily factors through $GL_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\pi)$.

A global Serre weight is an irred. $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -up of $GL_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}) = GL_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i})$ if $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{r_s}$. This

factors through $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} GL_n(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Given a global Galois rep $\tilde{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$
~~is many ways~~ one can associate to it a
 set of global Sata weights $D(\tilde{\rho})$ - the modular
 weights. Various generalizations of Serre's
 conjecture specify these weights. For $n=2$,
 more about this is Tamagawa's lecture.

In all conjectures, $D(\tilde{\rho}) = \left\{ \sigma = \bigotimes_{v|p} \sigma_v : \sigma_v \in D_v(\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{K_v}}) \right\}$
 where $D(\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{K_v}})$ is a set of Sata weights at

v that depends only on $\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{K_v}}$, in fact on $\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathbb{F}_v}$. So
 if $\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{K_v}} = \rho$, it makes sense to speak of $D(\rho)$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq F_0 \subseteq F$ be the maximal unramified
 subextension of F . Let $e = [F:F_0]$. Then there are
 e reps $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_e: \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F_0) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ such that $D(\rho)$
 is a multi-set

$$D(\rho) = \prod_{i=1}^e D(\rho_i)$$

Generally the $D(\rho_i)$ have multiplicity 1. For a
 small odd p sufficiently prime, $D(\rho)$ can also
 have multiplicity one.

Example: let $G = \text{GL}_n(F)$
 $K = \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \subset G$
 $Z = Z(G) \cong F^\times$

View Sata weights at \mathfrak{p} as reps. of $K\mathbb{Z}$
 via inflation $K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathfrak{h})$ and letting π act
 trivially. Then we should have

$$\text{soc}_{K\mathbb{Z}} \pi(\rho) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in D(\rho)} \sigma$$

Def W is called superregular if one may take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$.

Now go to the case $n=2$ to make things simpler

Thm (Bartel-Kinoshita). If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^G \sigma / (T-\lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient (and is itself irreducible most of the time).

The irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ -ups of G are:

- 1) principal series $\text{ind}_B^G(X_1 \otimes X_2) \quad X_1 \neq X_2$
 $X_1 \otimes X_2: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$
 $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto X_1(a) X_2(d)$

- 2) character $X \cdot \det, \quad X: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$

- 3) Steinberg $(X \cdot \det) \otimes \text{St}$
 $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{ind}_B^G \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \text{St} \rightarrow 0$

- 4) superregular

A similar situation holds for all $n \geq 2$ by work of Herzog. All irred. ups are either superregular or sit inside parabolic inductions whose composition series may be described explicitly.

Thm (Breuil, 2001). If $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then all $\text{ind} \sigma / \tau$ are irreducible, and there is a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible} \\ \rho: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible} \\ \text{superregular } \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}\text{-ups} \\ \text{of } GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \end{array} \right\}$$

given by $\pi(\rho) = \text{ind}_{K^*}^G \sigma / \Gamma$ where $\sigma \in D(\rho)$.

Recall from Diamond's lectures that $D(\rho)$ usually has two elements, but Bruhl shows that exactly the right isomorphism between the ind σ / Γ holds.

Def A superregular rep W has good socle if $\text{soc}_{K^*} W = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in D(\rho)} \sigma$ for a generic ρ .

If F/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified, then Bruhl and Parkes construct a family of irreducible superregular reps of $G = GL_2(F)$ with good socle. If F/\mathbb{Q}_p ramified, the same construction works but can't prove irreducibility. Motivation of the work discussed below is to prove it.

From now on, assume F/\mathbb{Q}_p is totally ramified (everything we say can be done in general, but much messier).

Up to twist, for a generic irreducible $\rho: Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ have $D(\rho) = \{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{r-1}\} \cup \{\sigma'_0, \dots, \sigma'_{r-1}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= \text{sym}^r \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 & \sigma'_0 &= \det^r \text{sym}^{p-1-r} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 \\ \sigma_i &= \det^{-i} \otimes \text{sym}^{r+2i} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 & \sigma'_i &= \det^{r+i} \otimes \text{sym}^{p-1-r-2i} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the conjecture is proved by Gee-Saito. We will define a sequence of quotients

$$V_0 \twoheadrightarrow V_1 \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow V_{r-1} \text{ such that:}$$

Thm 1 Let W be a superregular ^{admissible} quotient of $V_0 = \text{ind } \sigma_0 / T(\text{ind } \sigma_0)$ with good socle. ~~Then~~ If

W does not contain a principal series or a G -subrepresentation (e.g. if W irreducible or if W arises from the Bruhat-Pashman construction) then W factors through V_{k-1} .

Construction $\text{soc}_{K\mathbb{Z}}(V_0) = \sigma_0 \oplus \sigma'_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma'_i$

but $0 \neq X_0^* \in \sigma_0^{I(1)}$
 $0 \neq X'_0 \in \sigma'_0^{I(1)}$ $X'_0 = \beta X_0, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

There is a "minimal" σ'_i subrep, namely one whose preimage sits closest to the origin of the Bruhat-Tits tree of GL_2 (viewing elements of $\text{ind}_{K\mathbb{Z}}^G \sigma_0$ as functions on vertices of the tree).

This gives a function

$$\Phi_i: \text{ind}_{K\mathbb{Z}}^G \sigma'_i \rightarrow V_0$$

by Frobenius reciprocity. Define $V_i = V_0 / \Phi_i(\text{ind } \sigma'_i)$

In general, assume V_{i-1} is constructed. Weights appearing in such are $\sigma_0, \sigma'_0, \dots, \sigma_{i-1}, \sigma'_{i-1}$ and σ'_i . There is a minimal σ'_i , get $\Phi_i: \text{ind } \sigma'_i \rightarrow V_{i-1}$, define $V_i = V_{i-1} / \Phi_i(\text{ind } \sigma'_i)$.

Proof of theorem

Given $\tau: V_0 \rightarrow W$, assume it factors through V_{i-1} . Then $\text{ind } \sigma'_i \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} V_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\tau} W$ is a ~~non-zero~~ map in $\text{Hom}_G(\text{ind } \sigma'_i, W)$. if it is zero, we are done if not, By assumption on the socle of W this space is 1-dimensional, so $\tau \circ \Phi_i$ factors through some $\text{ind } \sigma'_i / (\text{T-}\lambda)(\text{ind } \sigma'_i)$. If $\lambda=0$ we are done