## Algebraic Number Theory 88-798 Remarks on Question Sheet 2

(1) The third exercise on Question Sheet 2 is the following:

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded, convex, and symmetric. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be linearly independent vectors, and let A be the  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns are the vectors  $v_i$ . Suppose that  $\operatorname{vol}(C) > 2^n |\det A|$ . Prove that there exist  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not all zero, such that  $x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_na_n \in C$ .

*Hint:* Consider the set  $D = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1a_1 + \cdots x_na_n \in C\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We need to show that D contains a lattice point; a sketch of a proof follows. Show first that D is bounded, convex, and symmetric, and that  $\operatorname{vol}(D) > 2^n$ .

Let  $D' \subset D$  be the subset consisting of points  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  such that  $(2x_1, \ldots, 2x_n) \in D$ . Then  $\operatorname{vol}(D') > 1$ . Let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be the characteristic function of D':

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & : x \in D' \\ 0 & : x \notin D' \end{cases}$$

Now define the function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi(x+y).$$

If  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a lattice point, it is clear that  $\psi(x) = \psi(x+y)$ , so that  $\psi$  induces a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The function is  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is integrable, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n} \psi(x) dx = \operatorname{vol}(D') > 1.$$

Since  $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) = 1$  and  $\psi$  takes integer values, there must be a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\psi(x) \geq 2$ . Equivalently, there exist two points  $P_1, P_2 \in D'$  such that  $P_1 - P_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Therefore,  $2P_1, 2P_2 \in D$ . By symmetry and convexity of D, it follows that the lattice point  $P_1 - P_2$  is contained in D.

(2) Recall that for an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  we defined  $N(\mathfrak{a})$  to be the cardinality of the quotient ring  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}$ . We claimed that  $N(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{b})$  and left this as an exercise. Here are some hints about how to do it. By the decomposition into primes it suffices to prove that if  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ , where the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are prime ideals and  $\mathfrak{p}_i \neq \mathfrak{p}_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^r N(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_i}$ .

First show by the Chinese Remainder Theorem that  $N(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} N(\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{e_{i}})$ . To apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem, you will need to prove that  $\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{e_{1}} + \mathfrak{p}_{2}^{e_{2}} = \mathcal{O}_{K}$  for any distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$  and any exponents  $e_{1}, e_{2}$ . To see that, first obtain an expression  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$  for  $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ , which clearly exists by maximality of  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$ . Then,

$$(x_1 + x_2)^{e_1 + e_2} = \sum_{j=0}^{e_1 + e_2} {e_1 + e_2 \choose j} x_1^j x_2^{e_1 + e_2 - j} = 1,$$

and it follows that  $1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} + \mathfrak{p}_2^{e_2}$ .

It remains to show that  $N(\mathfrak{p}^e) = N(\mathfrak{p})^e$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . To prove that, it suffices to show that the index of  $\mathfrak{p}^e$  in  $\mathfrak{p}^{e-1}$  is equal to the index of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{p}^{e-1}$  be such that  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}^e$ . Then we claim that the map  $f(y + \mathfrak{p}) = xy + \mathfrak{p}^e$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$f: \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{p}^{e-1}/\mathfrak{p}^e.$$

Everything except the surjectivity of f is obvious. Consider the ideal  $I = x\mathcal{O}_K + \mathfrak{p}^e \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{p}^e \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{e-1}$ , and it follows by uniqueness of the prime decomposition that either  $I = \mathfrak{p}^e$  or  $I = \mathfrak{p}^{e-1}$ . But  $I = \mathfrak{p}^e$  is impossible, since  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}^e$ . Therefore  $I = \mathfrak{p}^{e-1}$ , which implies that f is surjective.