

ERGODIC THEORY — LECTURE 4 SUMMARY

1. WEAK MIXING

Definition 1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a m.-p. s. It is called *weakly mixing* if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\mu(T^{-j}A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0.$$

Remark 1.2. Note that mixing (which requires that $\mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B) \rightarrow 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$) implies mixing. We have seen (follows from Homework 2, #3) that weak mixing implies ergodicity. As we will see, the converse is not true; e.g., irrational rotation is ergodic but not weakly mixing. As for examples of weakly mixing, but not mixing systems, there are many — both “generic” and “specific”, but this is a bit harder to prove.

The *density* of a subset of \mathbb{N} is defined by $d(J) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{j \in J : j \leq n\}$, assuming the limit exists.

Theorem 1.3. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a m.-p. s. It is weakly mixing if and only if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $J = J_{A,B} \subset \mathbb{N}$, with $d(J) = 0$, such that

$$\lim_{J \not\ni n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T^{-n}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B).$$

This follows immediately from

Lemma 1.4 (Koopman-von Neumann). Let $0 \leq a_n \leq K$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j = 0$;
- (ii) there exists $J = J(\{a_n\}) \subset \mathbb{N}$ of density zero, such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ for $n \notin J$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j^2 = 0$

Theorem 1.5. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a m.-p. s. The following are equivalent:

- (i) T is weakly mixing.
- (ii) T has continuous spectrum (which means, by definition, that T has no non-constant measurable eigenfunctions).
- (iii) $T \times T$ is ergodic.
- (iv) $T \times T$ is weakly mixing.

Scheme of the proof. The implications (iv) \Rightarrow (i) and (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) are obvious. For the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) we use the fact that it is sufficient to check the definition for sets from a generating semi-algebra, and then work with measurable rectangles and Theorem 1.3. For the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) we argue by contradiction and make use of the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be a m.-p. s. and $U = U_T$ the corresponding Koopman operator on L_0^2 . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is weakly mixing.
- (ii) for any $f, g \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\langle U^j f, g \rangle| = 0.$$

- (ii) for any $f \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\langle U^j f, f \rangle| = 0.$$

For the remaining implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) we use Spectral Theory (see Hand-out II): let $f \in L_0^2(X, \mu)$; we want to show that the spectral measure $\nu := \sigma_f$ is continuous (that is, every point has measure zero). We have $\widehat{\nu}(n) = \widehat{\sigma}_f(n) = \langle U^n f, f \rangle$. By Lemma 1.6, weak mixing implies $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\widehat{\nu}(j)| \rightarrow 0$, which in view of Lemma 1.4 is equivalent to $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\widehat{\nu}(j)|^2 \rightarrow 0$. It remains to apply the following classical

Lemma 1.7 (Wiener). *Let ν be a positive finite measure on the circle \mathbb{T} , and $\nu(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\nu(z)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\widehat{\nu}(j)| = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}} \nu(\{x\})^2.$$

□